

Message

---

**From:** Gunning, Paul [Gunning.Paul@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 5/31/2019 12:31:48 PM  
**To:** Dunham, Sarah [Dunham.Sarah@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** FW: For review: Oil & Natural Gas Sector Emission Standards for new Reconstructed & Modified Sources Review  
**Attachments:** Standalone VI.C cw.docx; EO12866\_Oil and Gas Review 2060-AT90 NPRM\_20190530.docx; EDIT Standalone VI.C cw.docx

FYI.....

---

**From:** Culligan, Kevin  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 30, 2019 6:08 PM  
**To:** Lewis, Josh <Lewis.Josh@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Gunning, Paul <Gunning.Paul@epa.gov>; Cozzie, David <Cozzie.David@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: For review: Oil & Natural Gas Sector Emission Standards for new Reconstructed & Modified Sources Review

Adding David Cozzie. Sounds like OAP and OAQPS should review ASAP, then get this final version into the package and start moving it forward? Hoping we are all ok with it and no need to suggest any edits.

---

**From:** Lewis, Josh  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 30, 2019 5:01 PM  
**To:** Gunning, Paul <Gunning.Paul@epa.gov>; Culligan, Kevin <Culligan.Kevin@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: For review: Oil & Natural Gas Sector Emission Standards for new Reconstructed & Modified Sources Review

Re-sending w/ a 3<sup>rd</sup> attachment, which are Justin Schwab's comments that just came in.

---

**From:** Lewis, Josh  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 30, 2019 4:56 PM  
**To:** Gunning, Paul <Gunning.Paul@epa.gov>; Culligan, Kevin <Culligan.Kevin@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: For review: Oil & Natural Gas Sector Emission Standards for new Reconstructed & Modified Sources Review

Paul – think this is more in your lane but adding Kevin too for awareness/action as needed. Will call you right now to give some additional background/context on what the two attachments are (if not obvious).

Josh

---

**From:** Woods, Clint  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 30, 2019 4:30 PM  
**To:** Harlow, David <harlow.david@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Lewis, Josh <Lewis.Josh@epa.gov>; Idsal, Anne <idsal.anne@epa.gov>; Dominguez, Alexander <dominguez.alexander@epa.gov>; Schwab, Justin <Schwab.Justin@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: For review: Oil & Natural Gas Sector Emission Standards for new Reconstructed & Modified Sources Review

+ Justin, insert is attached

Josh, if we could check with OAP/OAQPS to review, I think that is main task for getting this into shape

I think we were on the same page that the package will move to OP tomorrow

---

**From:** Woods, Clint  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 30, 2019 12:16 PM  
**To:** Harlow, David <[harlow.david@epa.gov](mailto:harlow.david@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Lewis, Josh <[Lewis.Josh@epa.gov](mailto:Lewis.Josh@epa.gov)>; Idsal, Anne <[idsal.anne@epa.gov](mailto:idsal.anne@epa.gov)>; Dominguez, Alexander <[dominguez.alexander@epa.gov](mailto:dominguez.alexander@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: For review: Oil & Natural Gas Sector Emission Standards for new Reconstructed & Modified Sources Review

I will be sending (when I can get my computer to turn on) the edits to the missing section 6c. Having said that, I think it can move without that being plugged back in

On May 30, 2019, at 11:04 AM, Harlow, David <[harlow.david@epa.gov](mailto:harlow.david@epa.gov)> wrote:

Josh,

Clint can correct me if I'm wrong, but I think we're ready to pull the trigger on this and have Bill move it along to OP.

**David S. Harlow**  
**Senior Counsel**  
**Immediate Office of the Assistant Administrator**  
**Office of Air and Radiation, USEPA**  
**WJC-N Room 5409K**  
**1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW**  
**Washington, DC 20460**  
**202-564-1233**  
[Harlow.David@epa.gov](mailto:Harlow.David@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Lewis, Josh  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 30, 2019 12:01 PM  
**To:** Idsal, Anne <[idsal.anne@epa.gov](mailto:idsal.anne@epa.gov)>; Woods, Clint <[woods.clint@epa.gov](mailto:woods.clint@epa.gov)>; Dominguez, Alexander <[dominguez.alexander@epa.gov](mailto:dominguez.alexander@epa.gov)>; Harlow, David <[harlow.david@epa.gov](mailto:harlow.david@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** For review: Oil & Natural Gas Sector Emission Standards for new Reconstructed & Modified Sources Review  
**Importance:** High

Is this ready for Bill's review, or would one/more of you like to review before I give it to him? (I have the red package too, if you prefer hard copy)

Josh